## 

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	REX CHAPPELL,
11	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-04-1183 LKK DAD P
12	VS.
13	C.K. PLILER, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff has requested a twenty-day extension of time to file and serve an
17	opposition to the motion to dismiss filed by defendants on April 3, 2006. Good cause appearing,
18	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
19	1. Plaintiff's April 20, 2006 motion for extension of time is granted; and
20	2. Plaintiff is granted thirty days from the date of this order in which to file and
21	serve his opposition to defendants' April 3, 2006 motion to dismiss. Defendants' reply shall be
22	filed and served in accordance with Local Rule 78-230(m).
23	DATED: April 25, 2006.
24	a
25	Dale A. Dryd  DALE A. DROZD
26	DALE A. DROZD  DAD:13:bb  Chap1183.36  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE